



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/526,910	03/16/2000	Hoyt A. Fleming, III	108298610US	1414	
25096	7590 01/22/2003				
PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 1247			EXAMINER		
			AL HASHEMI, SANA A		
SEATTLE, W	SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2171		
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

De

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/526,910	FLEMING, III ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
s. ,	Sana Al-Hashemi	2171
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>
THE REPLY FILED 1/13/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO void abandonment of this application at timely filed amendment which	R ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
, , ,	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	te of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•	
$2 \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-51</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:		2
		SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Referring to claims 1, 14, 15, 25, 36, and 45, applicant states that database stored on a storage medium different from the medium that stores the audiovisual work. however abecassis does disclose the process of storing the data on a different medium (see column 11, lines 31-37, Abecssis).